

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Frederick J. Parker

Art Unit

1762

All Participants:

(1) Frederick J. Parker.(2) Dan RingelStatus of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5/31/09; 6/9/21; 7/5Time: 11A

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed: CritiTech brochure 6/5/02

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

5/3: The Examiner contacted Mr Ringel to discuss IDS which arrived to Examiner AFTER N/A was issued; Exr advised Mr Ringel not to pay issue fee + consult w/ Applicants to find subject matter to make claims allowable over CritiTech brochure. Subsequent calls repeated this message but without response. Message on 7/5/05 told Mr. Ringel that as time was growing close, he needed to contact me by 7/10/05, or case would go to SPE for withdrawal of Allowance. As of 7/11/05 AM, no response from Mr Ringel.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)